



NAPPO

North American Plant Protection Organization
Organización Norteamericana de Protección a las Plantas

NAPPO Conference Call Report

Expert Group:	Diversion from Intended Use	
Location:	Conference call	
Date:	February 22, 2017; Feb. 24, 2017	
Chairperson	Lottie Erikson (PPQ)	
Participants – Feb. 22:		
Walter Gutierrez (PPQ)	Pauline Spaine (PPQ)	Stephanie Bloem (NAPPO)
Aidee Campuzano (SENASICA)	Patricia Abad (APHIS PPQ)	Nedelka Marin-Martinez (NAPPO)
Alonso Suazo (NAPPO)	Dom Pelletier (CFIA) joined late	
Participants – Feb. 24:		
Stephanie Bloem (NAPPO)	Christine Villegas (CFIA)	
Summary		
General comments:	Chairperson requested additional topics to add to agenda. AMC from USA requested to add Dr. Pauline Spaine as a new EG member. NAPPO ED welcomed Dr. Spaine to the EG.	
Item 1:	Welcome remarks by Lottie and Stephanie	
Consensus:	<p>Lottie welcomed new members Walter Gutierrez and Pauline Spaine and asked them to introduce themselves to the Group. They gave a summary of their professional background. All members of the EG introduced themselves and gave a brief summary of their background as well.</p> <p>EG members not present in today's call will be informed during the next call scheduled for Feb. 24, 2017. Stephanie indicated that new members are not required to participate in the next call. Stephanie introduced the NAPPO members present in the call to the new EG members.</p>	
Item 2:	EC decision regarding DFIU	
Consensus:	<p>NAPPO ED:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The DFIU document was presented to the EC during the meeting in October 2016. • NAPPO ED requested feedback from EC. EC gave good reviews; Canada wants to see results from country consultation on the DFIU specification before agreeing to move forward with development of RSPM • The EC agreed on the next steps for the DFIU document: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Specification should be developed/reviewed ○ Specification sent for country consultation 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> During the Feb. 15 EC meeting, EC agreed to start the country consultation process April 1, 2017.
Item 3:	Scope of work
Consensus:	<p>Lottie asked about a timeline for country consultation and if there is an intermediate step to consider before country consultation. Stephanie suggested that the group review the draft specification (sent via email to the group) and make adjustments and enhancements as needed. The Secretariat will proceed to translate final version of the specification before CC. The NAPPO ED also informed the group that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> group has about a month to complete this process and ideally, the final version of the document should be submitted to the NAPPO secretariat by March 27, 2017. from this moment to the time the document is submitted to the NAPPO secretariat, the EG will probably need to schedule a 1-2 conference calls. <p>Lottie proposed the following action items and timelines to the EG members:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> members should read the specification and send comments to Lottie no later than COB March 10, 2017. Lottie will include comments in revised specification and discuss them during the next CC. Lottie would like to have a document with final comments by mid-March. Lottie requested the NAPPO secretariat to schedule a conference call for March 13 to discuss changes to the document final document will be sent to the secretariat by March 27. <p>MX: In agreement with the plan proposed by chairperson. Q: how in depth do you need the comments to be? The chairperson emphasized they could be editorial changes and other comments keeping in mind we are short of time.</p>
Item 4:	History of DFIU issue to date
Consensus:	<p>IPPC survey on DFIU importance and implementation in all countries.</p> <p>EG has been working on the DFIU document for about a year. Need to make sure there is consistency between the original document and the specification</p> <p>Lottie asked the group to look at the specification and think in terms of the standard.</p>
Item 5:	Review and discuss DFIU specification developed by NAPPO
Consensus:	<p>Draft specification was discussed paragraph by paragraph.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Title: No comments on title. All in agreement. P1 Reasons for standards: All in agreement P2: No comments, all in agreement P3: Relevance to fulfillment of the NAPPO strategic plan. <u>The NAPPO ED will ensure the wording is in agreement with the new strategic plan</u>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • P4: Scope and purpose. Lottie: Original document mentioned how to include DFU in risk analysis. This is not addressed in the specification document. <u>Lottie will add some language to this point in the “Scope and purpose” section.</u> EG member inquired about consumption and whether this is for animal and human consumption. Lottie suggested this could be a topic for discussion in the future (example with bird seeds). Needs to clarify this in the document. Lottie asked the group to look carefully at the scope section and make suggestions. • P5: Tasks. All members in agreement with points outlined. MX recommended to include a point regarding risk analysis. Everyone agreed with all points outlined in the document. Lottie asked EG members to consider other measures and mechanism we can use to address bullet point 10 (“<i>Examine measures at entry or in the importing country to restrict the uses of ...</i>”).” • P6: Expertise. All in agreement with points outlined. 	
Item 6:	Request written comments	
Consensus:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Written comments by COB March 10th • CC on March 13 at 10:00am EDT • Final document submitted to the secretariat by March 27th • Final document to country consultation by April 1, 2017 	
Next Steps		
Responsible Person	Action	Date
EG members	Add comments to specification and send them to Lottie by the end of first week of March.	COB March 10
EG Chairperson	Incorporate comments and send to the secretariat	March 14-15
NAPPO secretariat	Schedule call to discuss new comments to the document (March 13 at 10:00am EDT)	ASAP
EG members	Read DFUI document and make sure the specification addresses all points outlined in this document	ASAP
NAPPO secretariat	Check that wording in the specification is in agreement with the strategic plan	ASAP
EG Chairperson	Include DFUI in risk analysis; add to the scope section	ASAP
EG Chairperson	Clarification of the term consumption, whether it is for human, animals or both	ASAP
EG members	Review the “Scope” section and add comments or suggestions.	ASAP
NAPPO secretariat	Prepare CCR and send to Lottie for approval	ASAP
NAPPO secretariat	Inform member from Canada on results of this call during call on Feb. 24	Feb. 24
Next Meeting		
	March 13, 2017 at 10:00am EDT.	