



NAPPO

North American Plant Protection Organization
Organización Norteamericana de Protección a las Plantas

NAPPO Conference Call/Meeting Report

Expert Group:	Diversion from Intended Use	
Location:	Conference call	
Date:	March 13, 2017	
Chairperson	Lottie Erikson (PPQ)	
Participants:		
Christine Villegas (CFIA)	Xochitl Aidee Campuzano Martínez (SENASICA)	Stephanie Bloem (NAPPO)
Walter Gutierrez (PPQ)	Nedelka Marin-Martinez (NAPPO)	Alonso Suazo (NAPPO)
Patricia Abad (PPQ)		
Summary		
Project:	Diversion from Intended Use Specification Document	
General comments:	Main objective of the meeting was to discuss changes and address comments added by the group.	
Item 1:	Changes made to the "Specification Document" using EG comments provided by March 10, 2017	
Consensus:	<p>Reasons for the standard (Page 1. P1): The second sentence of this paragraph was replaced incorporating the suggestions provided by Christine Villegas.</p> <p>Edited paragraph:</p> <p><i>"Harmonized guidance on diversion from intended use is needed to 1) standardize procedures for documenting and assessing the additional risks associated with DFIU; 2) ensure there is a rational basis for selecting appropriate measures when DFIU is an issue and 3) provide importing countries with guidance to prevent/manage DFIU."</i></p> <p>Wording was added to the last sentence of the first paragraph based on comments made by Xochitl Aidee.</p> <p>Added sentence: <i>"existing ISPMs on pest risk analysis (e.g. ISPM 2, 11 and 21), do not contain guidance on evaluating the potential for DFIU"</i></p> <p>Scope and purpose (Page 2): Chairperson noted that two</p>	

bullets were addressing the “*collection of measures issues*” and risk assessment was not addressed. One bullet about phytosanitary measures (redundant) was removed and a risk assessment bullet was added.

Edited paragraph:

“This standard will provide harmonized guidance for risk analysts, risk managers and plant health decision makers in both importing and exporting countries on 1) how to account for the risk from DFIU when conducting a risk assessment; 2) how to select appropriate phytosanitary measures when DFIU is an issue and 3) how to manage/prevent DFIU after importation.”

Section: “*The scope of the standard will apply to*”: The chairperson edited the wording in this section taking into consideration comments provided by EG members. First bullet point was rewritten taken into consideration the comments provided by Aidee Campuzano as follows:

“-commodities with multiple end uses where diversion from intended use has implications for the amount and strength of phytosanitary measures (for example, plant commodities intended for consumption and / or propagation)”

Tasks: Additional wording added to the first bullet from “Review existing standards” to “Review existing *regional and international standards related to DFIU*”

Task added: “*Review existing regulations related to DFIU in NAPPO countries*”

Task added: “*Consider examples where DFIU commonly occurs for non-traded commodities in NAPPO countries*”

Chairperson reorganized the list of tasks putting risk assessment tasks together to provide a more logical sequence. Some wording was changed in this reorganization to incorporate comments suggested by the group.

Bullet added within the section “*Develop harmonized guidance for selecting measure when DFIU is an issue*” as follows:

-“Consider situations where it may be preferable or necessary to impose measure pre-entry, post-entry, at entry, or in combinations”

Chairperson made minor changes to the last task (Develop harmonized guidance for managing risk after importation)

	<p>Expertise: Minor changes made to the “bullet points” in this section. In the second bullet point, “<i>Risk managers</i>” was replaced with “<i>Experts on risk management</i>”. The clause “<i>and other commonly diverted commodities</i>” was also added to the sentence at the end of this “bullet point”.</p> <p>The first bullet point was also modified by the Chairperson as follow:</p> <p><i>“plant health specialists and pest risk analysts with experience relating to commodities that are commonly diverted (e.g., grains, pulses, potatoes)”</i></p>	
Item 2:	Next steps	
Consensus:	NAPPO secretariat: clean document in English, translate to Spanish and send it to the group for one last review before it is formatted and sent for country consultation.	
Next Steps		
Responsible Person	Action	Date
NAPPO secretariat	Make changes to the document, translate and send to the group for last review	March 20
EG members	Review final version of document and provide final comments	March 24
NAPPO secretariat	Send document for country consultation	By April 1
Next Meeting		
Location:	TBD	
Date:		
Proposed Agenda Items		
1. TBD		