



# NAPPO

North American Plant Protection Organization  
Organización Norteamericana de Protección a las Plantas

## NAPPO Conference Call/Meeting Report Informe sobre conferencia telefónica/ reunión de la NAPPO

Expert Group/Grupo de Expertos:	Diversion from Intended Use	
Location/Lugar:	Via conference call and momentum telecom	
Date/Fecha:	August 24, 2016 at 10:00 am	
Chairperson/Presidente	Lottie Erikson, USDA	
Participants/Participantes:		
Lottie Erikson, USDA	Nancy Villegas, SENASICA	Pati Abad, APHIS-PPQ
Christine Villegas, CFIA	Stephanie Bloem, NAPPO	Alonso Suazo, NAPPO
Nedelka Marin-Martinez, NAPPO		
<b>Summary/Resumen</b>		
Project /Proyecto:	DFIU	
General comments/Comentarios generales:	Sorry it has take so long for us to get together again	
Item 1/ Asunto 1:	Introductions and Agenda - Background on issue – Chronology of where we are - review comments on document – thanks for these – documents much improved – hope we can adopt paper and discuss next steps for NAPPO Sect. – also status RSPM specification– EG members to the Annual Meeting – other issues for Agenda?	
Consensus/Decisiones:	<p><b>Background</b> - Deciding on appropriate strength of measures for commodities that are consumed or propagated are always problematic for regulators – SPS and IPPC – all measures must be justified. IPPC guidance - PRA should consider intended use If only intended considered, measures may be insufficient to protect importing country. Working on concept/white paper to find solutions for both exporting and importing countries. Two things considered – developing guidelines on how to consider intended and non-intended use; or develop guidelines for measures taken by the importing country</p>	
Item 2 / Asunto 2:	<p><b>Chronology</b> – U.S. proposed to IPPC call for topics 2012. IPPC interested but lacked understanding of issue; requested a document for the Bureau meeting; US and Canada collaborated on paper; IPPC implementation group considered the document and investigated via survey how widespread this issue is for all CPs; requested paper written by contractor to present results; paper available March 2016; main findings - process of adding measures</p>	

	<p>in anticipation of DFIU – not aligned with international guidance – issue merits future guidance – paper not discussed at CPM; issue has not not progressed beyond publication of document.</p> <p>Before this NAPPO had empanelled a group to deal DFIU to present to NAPPO EC – that is what the present document is</p>
Consensus/Decisiones:	<p>Question – any data on commodities in contractor report? Some information but not specifics – Lottie to will contractor document to Nancy tomorrow – 56 countries responded and 40% indicated they have evidence of DFIU</p>
Item 3 / Asunto 3	<p><b>Reviewing the changes to our document</b> – focus in areas that have changed – thanks to Christine and Nancy for comments – Lottie reorganized 3 scenarios.</p> <p>Para 3 – the following scenarios – added text to introduce scenarios – question concerning over regulations -from the perspective of the strength of measures WRT PRA – adapt translation in Spanish in terms of strength of measures</p> <p>Para 4 – consider both scenarios – import and export – tried to clarify – scenario 1 for importing scenario 2 and 3 – exporting</p> <p>Section on why scenario presents problem – explanatory language clarifying meaning of over and under-regulation and issue for importing and exporting countries</p> <p>Suggestion from Christine – maybe move this to section 3 where it talks about the same thing with more clarity –all in agreement</p> <p>Para 5 – added sentence on harmonized guidance</p> <p>Para 6 – Nancy/Rigoberto comments – addressed in para 5 – in agreement</p> <p>Scenario 2 – change in 2nd sentence and further down added section explaining emergency measures – everybody OK?</p> <p>Making the case that harmonized guidance is needed – take extra care with translation issues – Secretariat will work with Nancy on this and inform Lottie and Christine</p> <p>Scenario 3 – re-edits - everyone OK? Christine OK with it; Nancy and Sect. will work on the Spanish part</p> <p>Para 17 – Mex OK</p> <p>Re-wrote sentence on custody issues – not citing MX – first we consider NAPPO then rest of the world – only suggesting guidelines that might be adopted</p> <p>Post entry Q instead of custody ... should not be a replacement as it is not the same as custody, responsibility or traceability</p> <p>All else is OK from previous version – how to proceed – will not agree on final draft</p> <p>Christine - add how to apply a measure that is appropriate for DFIU – maybe dealt with in the context of the standard – we need experts to look at this</p>
Other subjects/Otros asuntos	<p>Annual meeting</p>
Consensus/Decisiones:	<p>See below on next steps for this</p>

<b>Next Steps/Próximos pasos</b>		
<b>Responsible Person /Responsable</b>	<b>Action/Acciones</b>	<b>Date/Fecha</b>
Lottie	Will make changes to document as per todays agreements	ASAP
Lottie	Send IPPC contractor document on DFIU to Nancy	ASAP
Nancy	Will clarify issue with Rigoberto and comments	ASAP
Secretariat and Nancy	Will work on improving technical translation of a few sentences in document – scenarios 2 and 3	1 <sup>st</sup> week in Sept.
Secretariat	Send power point template for Annual Meeting report to Lottie	Mid-September
<b>Next Meeting/Próxima Reunión</b>		
Location/Lugar:	Call + webinar	
Date/Fecha:	Wednesday, Sept. 14 <sup>th</sup> at 10:00am EST	
<b>Proposed Agenda Items/Asuntos Propuestos</b>		
1.		
2.		
3.		