



NAPPO

North American Plant Protection Organization
Organización Norteamericana de Protección a las Plantas

NAPPO Conference Call Report

Expert Group:	Khapra Beetle	
Location:	Conference call	
Date:	Wednesday May 4, 2016	
Chairperson	Hector Vega, SENASICA	
Adly Ibrahim, APHIS	Christina Devorshak, NAPPO	Rebecca Lee, NAPPO
Julia Dunlop, CFIA	Kristina Pauk, CFIA	Ana Lilia Montealegre, SENASICA
Nallely Acevedo, SENASICA	Stephanie Bloem, NAPPO	Scott Myers, APHIS

Summary

Project:	A North American Approach to Preventing Introduction, Establishment and Spread of Khapra Beetle (<i>Trogoderma granarium</i>) in Various Pathways.
General comments:	A general outline was prepared by Hector and distributed to the group previously. The document was reviewed by Ana Lilia as well based on her experience with international and regional standards. Comments on the outline: Wendy appreciates the work that Hector did. It was suggested that each country could break up the outline according to sections that they have knowledge on and work on that section. For example, under pest risk assessment, diagnostic procedures, Mexico could provide information on that section.
Item 1:	The group reviewed the major headings of the outline. There was some discussion about including distribution records for Khapra beetle. There was a request to summarize some of the information regarding geographic distribution.
Consensus:	Adly agreed to follow up on what countries have intercepted Khapra beetle. This information is contained in a mini risk assessment that is available on Google. The mini risk assessment is uploaded to the Google Docs folder for Khapra beetle
Item 2:	The following sections were agreed by the group: POLITICAL, LEGAL AND OPERATIONAL FRAMEWORK IN EACH NPPO <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulations established by each country to prevent the introduction of Khapra beetle (summary and links from each country) – Mexico, Canada, US External surveillance: exclusion measures (national and international regulations) (summary and links from each country, and what exists internationally) – Mexico, Canada, US – information on distribution at international level - Adly PEST RISK ASSESSMENT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk analysis (taxonomic position, biology, hosts, current distribution,

	<p>damages, etc.) – all three countries</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification and diagnostic procedures - Mexico • Assessment of probability of introduction and spread: main products or by-products that serve as pathway for spread – each country summarize their perspective • Assessment of probability of establishment-Canada • Assessment of possible economic consequences -Canada • Points of entry - Canada • Pathways for entry -Canada • Inspection and detection systems – each country summarize their perspective • Pest status in NAPPO member countries - Canada 	
Consensus:	Agreed	
Item 3:	The group will need to include contribution of text to the outline a week before the next conference call. Text to be submitted by May 27.	
Next Steps		
Responsible Person	Action	Date
All	Submit information on outline sections	May 27, 2016
Next Meeting		
Location:	Conference call	
Date:	June 2, 2016 11 am EST, 12 CT	
Proposed Agenda Items		
1.		