

How the USA evaluates new pests found within its borders: **New Pest Advisory Group**

Heike Meissner, Director Plant Epidemiology and Risk Analysis Laboratory PPQ, S&T

October 2019



Safeguarding Continuum





Questions to answer

How serious is this pest?

Do we need to worry about it?

Is action feasible?

What should be the next steps?

Outline of NPAG Report

- Initiating event
- Current PPQ port policy
- Exotic status
- Global distribution
- Host range
- Biology
- Known pest status
- Potential pathways of introduction
- Potential distribution and spread
- Detection
- Control
- Potential economic impacts

- Trade implications
- Potential environmental impacts
- Uncertainty
- Regulatory response and activities
- Recommendations







The NPAG Process: Collaboration and Inclusiveness

- CFWG ensures that all core functional areas of PPQ are included in the process
- Discussions among risk analysts ensure technical accuracy and credibility
- Input from SMEs provides specific information



The NPAG Process: Review and Clearance

- Peers (analysts) review for technical accuracy and language
- NPAG Liaisons (representing all parts of PPQ) and CFWG review for accuracy and provide input on recommendations
- PPQ Management Team discusses and provides input
- National Plant Board and other stakeholders are consulted as appropriate
- PPQ Deputy Administrator makes final regulatory decision based on NPAG recommendations and stakeholder feedback

Uncertainty

- Is a reality we have to accept.
- NPAG reports identify uncertainty associated with information in qualitative terms.
- A separate paragraph on uncertainty summarizes major knowledge gaps.



United States Department of Agriculture

Thank you!

Heike Meissner, PhD Director Plant Epidemiology and Risk Analysis Laboratory <u>Heike.E.Meissner@usda.gov</u>