

NAPPO Conference Call Report

Expert Group:	Revision of NAPPO DD05: Management of Huanglongbing and			
Location:	its Vector, the Asian Citrus Psyllid, <i>Diaphorina citri</i> Video conference – MS TEAMS			
Date:				
	September 1, 2022			
Chairperson	Donald Seaver (APHIS-PPQ)			
Participants:				
Carolina Ramírez Mendoza (SENASICA)	Norma Edith García Hernández (SENASICA)	Victoria Hornbaker (CDFA)		
Jim Cranney (US Industry)	Stephanie Dubon (APHIS PPQ)	Stephanie Bloem (NAPPO)		
Alonso Suazo (NAPPO)				
Summary				
Project:	Revision of NAPPO Discussion Document 05 (DD05): Management of Huanglongbing and its Vector, the Asian Citrus Psyllid, <i>Diaphorina citri</i>			
General comments:	 Introductory remarks by the NAPPO Secretariat EG agreed to record the session for report purposes. The NAPPO TD will write the video conference report. 			
Item 1:	EG discussion on the feedback provided on the task list to develop a justification statement to review RSPM 26 and recommendations to the NAPPO AMC.			
Consensus:	 Reconfirm the value of this document and the problem the document is trying to solve. The EG recommends revision of the document. The revised document should include new information on HLB and its vector (Asian Citrus Psyllid - ACP), new operational activities and could be used as a reference by other countries. 			
	locument addressed elsewhere? document. The revision of DD05			
Do the U.S. and Mexico curren practical way outside this docu activities will be a good addition to the control of the co		s document? Harmonization of		
	4. If the document is updated, how often will it need to be updated going forward? EG recommends updating every 3 years.			

	 5. This is a discussion document, should it become an type of NAPPO document? The EG recommends it rena discussion document. 6. Should the document be archived, and this work be moved to information sharing among the three countries? The EG recommends revision of the document. 7. If updates need to be made to DD05, for example updating HLB management practices, etc., determine scope of the updates (e.g., updating a couple of paragraphs vs. a complete rewrite of the draft). The Econsensus was to completely revise the document. Considerations when updating: 			
Item 2:	b. Experts will be revision of this c. Needs to have California. A befor the document d. Consider inclusive aspects. e. Identify reseathe document	e an expert that will represent palanced representation will be useful tent revision. Unding updates on the regulatory rch and regulation gaps to include in		
Consensus:	 The chairperson will on the outcomes of the presented to the NAI September 9. The NAPPO Secretary justification to revise provide additional control of the NAPPO Secretary document with the Augustation of the AMC will discuss or early November. The AMC decision were the the the AMC decision were the the the AMC will modify 	 The NAPPO Secretariat will translate the statement of justification to revise DD05 and share it with the EG to provide additional comments. The NAPPO Secretariat will share the final version of the document with the AMC for their consideration. The AMC will discuss the document either on October 4 		
Next Steps				
Responsible Person	Action	Date		
Chairperson	Draft justification statement	Sept. 9, 2022		
NAPPO Secretariat	 Translate the justification statement and share it with the EG for comments. Share the document with EG for additional comments. 			

	Share the final version of the justification statement with the NAPPO AMC for discussions on Oct 4 or early November.			
Next Meeting				
Location:	Video conference – MS TEAMS or Zoom	Video conference – MS TEAMS or Zoom		
Date:	A poll will be sent to the EG to schedule the next video co	A poll will be sent to the EG to schedule the next video conference.		
Proposed Agenda Items				
1.				
2.				