

NAPPO Conference Call Report

Expert Group:	Revision of RSPM 26 (<i>Certification of commercial arthropod</i> biological control agents moving into NAPPO member countries)			
Location:	Video conference (Zoom meeting)			
Date:	August 10, 2022	August 10, 2022		
Chairperson	Bruno Gallant (CFIA)			
Participants:				
Vicente Rosas Medina (SENASICA)	Hugo Arredondo (MX industry)	Kimberly Riley (Canada Industry)		
Stephanie Bloem (NAPPO)	Melissa Tacolla (U. S. Industry)	Carlos Lázaro Castellanos (SENASICA)		
Peter Mason (Agri. Canada)	Bob Pfannenstiel (APHIS PPQ)	John Goolsby (USDA ARS)		
Nedelka Marín-Martínez (NAPPO)	Alonso Suazo (NAPPO)	Serena Bhardwaj (U. S. / CA Industry)		
· · · ·	Summary			
Project:	Revision of RSPM 26 (Certification of commercial arthropod biological control agents moving into NAPPO member countries)			
General comments:	 Welcome remarks by NAPPO and the EG chairperson. The NAPPO TD will write the video conference report The EG authorized the recording of this video conference for report purposes. The file will be deleted when the report is reviewed and authorized by the chairperson. Simultaneous interpretation provided. Members were asked to speak in short sentences to allow time for interpretation. Points to add to the agenda: 			
	 Proposed approach – suggested by the chairperson (i.e., 2-phased approach: 1. validating the continued need for the standards; 2. if keeping it making updates). Registration to the NAPPO Annual Meeting – NAPPO ED 			
Item 1:	Considerations for the revie	Considerations for the review of RSPM 26		
Consensus:	The chairperson provided a summary and description of RSPM 26. EG members provided the following comments:			
	movement of biologic region. It was meant for the importation ofThe standard was ori	n with the intention to facilitate the cal control agents in the NAPPO to speed up the inspection process BCA. iginally requested from the industry to ent of BCA (expedite approval) in the		

	NAPPO region.The changes in the regulatory measures to		
	 the U. S. were due to stricter control that reg/11 events; regulatory requirements and in additional inspection times and reductio the imported material due to longer proces Same challenges are seen with the import insects. 	esulted from the measures result n of efficacy of ssing time. ation of sterile	
	 Canada and U.S. have not implemented R Mexico is implementing RSPM 26, and the aligned with the federal regulations. RSPM 26 addresses mostly the export protite import of BCAs, with resulting disconnections. 	e standard is ocess and not	
	what importing countries are requesting an RSPM calls for.		
	 Difficult to harmonize approaches when m are involved in the importation process. 		
	 Need to know where the phytosanitary me been implemented. Need to think about issues that are affective 		
	 movement of BCAs in the NAPPO region. scope of RSPM 26 could be modified accorrectly needs to take a close look at the underlying triggered the need for the standard and correctly options for addressing these, that may or reasonation a continued need for the standard or for the process it recommends. A workshop to bring stakeholders and interbring these issues for discussion was sugging. Need to harmonize and provide more claring these issues and provide more claring the standard process is the process of the process of the process for the process of the process of the process for the process of the process of the process for the process of the proces	 A workshop to bring stakeholders and interested parties to bring these issues for discussion was suggested. Need to harmonize and provide more clarity to terms like <i>"biological purity"</i> and <i>"origin"</i> to facilitate the certification 	
	The EG agree to work on a " <i>Background study paper</i> " to identify what the issues are regarding the implementation of RSPM 26 from the regulatory and industry perspective, with the scope being limited to biological control agents. This information will be important to determine the next steps with RSPM 26 and provide the discussion points for a workshop.		
	The background study paper will focus on commercial biological control agents and not non- <i>Apis</i> pollinators, as non- <i>Apis</i> pollinators raise different sets of possible considerations and groups (e.g., in Mexico and Canada non- <i>Apis</i> pollinators are managed by a different government agency).		
	Next Steps		
Responsible Person	Action	Date	

EG members	Identify issues from the regulatory and industry perspective regarding the implementation of RSPM 26 to produce a background study paper. EG members should send this information to the NAPPO Secretariat. These issues should be focused on biological control agents, and not non- <i>Apis</i> pollinators, and should identify the "actors" involved.	By September 29, 2022.		
NAPPO Secretariat	Translate the information provided on the background study paper, compile the feedback, produce a document in Spanish and another in English, and share it with the EG for discussion during the next video conference.	By October 6, 2022		
	Next Meeting			
Location/Lugar:	Video conference (Zoom meeting)			
Date:	October 13 from 2:00-3:30 pm EST			
Proposed Agenda Items				
1. Discussion of topics/issues submitted by EG members				
2. Defining next steps				