

NAPPO Conference Call Report

Expert Group:	ISPM-25 Guidance		
Location:	Zoom meeting - Videoconference		
Date:	December 9, 2020		
Chairperson	Brendon Reardon (APHIS-PPQ)		
Participants:			
Wendy Asbil (CFIA)	Christine Villegas (CFIA)	Helen Gerson (CBSA)	
John Ercolani (Industry)	Erin Stiers (APHIS-PPQ)	Annaliese Blecha (CBP)	
Neftali Reyes Carranza (SENASICA)	Francisca de la Cruz Martínez (SENASICA)	Nedelka Marín Martínez (NAPPO)	
Alonso Suazo (NAPPO)			
Summary			
Project:	Standardization of responsibilities and actions for safeguarding consignments that have transited one NAPPO country to enter another NAPPO country.		
General comments:	 The Chairperson provided an outline of the discussions as follows: identify additional scenarios for discussion at the end of the call discuss documents provided by Canada and Mexico NAPPO RSPM 23 as a reference for the EG work. 		
Item 1:	Documents provided by Canada and Mexico.		
Consensus:	Previous to the discussions of the documents provided by Canada and Mexico, the Chairperson reminded the EG about the objectives of this work as: • determining roles and responsibilities of all parties involved in the movement of consignments between NAPPO member countries • establish communication roles of stakeholders		
General comments on the "Scenario I: Agricultural consignation from Mexico to Canada transiting the USA (in compliance three countries)" flowchart: • EG agreed in previous calls to use the format pressor for this document and additional scenarios to discute the future • EG members should identify the different stakehole involved in different sections described in the document of the information including regulations, laws or any other information that miguseful		calls to use the format presented additional scenarios to discuss in dentify the different stakeholders ections described in the document nal information including	

	 The format and information included in this document (Scenario I) should be used as a reference for future flowcharts. Keeping a standard and consistent format and information across flowcharts will facilitate the use of the manual a communication plan should be developed for each scenario options to develop a separate communication plan for each scenario or include them in the same flowchart were discussed EG agreed to have all the role and responsibilities and the communication plan in the same flowchart all members were encouraged to provide additional information to the flowchart specially the industry as they are a critical component in the movement of 	
Item 2:	consignments in the NAPPO region. NAPPO RSPM 23 (Guidelines for consignments in transit)	
Consensus:	 The Chairperson: encouraged EG members to read RSPM 23 and indicated the standard could be used as a reference for the guidance document indicated that RSPM 23 is an old document and has been archived by NAPPO indicated that the document has important information for the group to consider including roles and responsibilities of parties involved, definitions, communication of noncompliance cases and other relevant aspects for the EG work. 	
Item 3:	Discussion of documents provided by Canada and Mexico.	
Consensus:	Canada's document – Scenario I: Agricultural consignment from USA to off-shore country transiting Canada (compliant in all three countries) Christine Villegas (CFIA) indicated that: • the document was developed using the template provided by the USA • outlined and explained the different steps described in the document • noted and explained the difference between the scenario presented by Canada and the US scenario • CBSA member will share with the EG the instructions and links for reporting consignment in Canada. This information will be added to the document. Mexico's document - International transit: Phytosanitary Import requirements module Neftali Reyes (SENASICA) provided the following highlights on the "International Transit: Phytosanitary Import Requirements Module": • the scenario described (flowchart) the importation from	

- 2. Review communication protocol for scenario "Agricultural consignment from third country to USA transiting Mexico (compliant in all three countries)."
- 3. Review communication protocol for scenario "Agricultural consignment from third country to USA transiting Canada (compliant in all three countries)."
- 4. Identify and document additional scenarios including non-compliances and stakeholder roles and responsibilities.