



NAPPO

North American Plant Protection Organization
Organización Norteamericana de Protección a las Plantas

NAPPO Conference Call Report

Expert Group:	ISPM-25 Guidance	
Location:	Zoom meeting – Video conference	
Date:	November 5, 2020	
Chairperson:	Brendon Reardon (APHIS-PPQ)	
Participants:		
Wendy Asbil (CFIA)	Michael Tamilya (US/CA industry)	Francisca de la Cruz (SENASICA)
Erin Stiers (APHIS-PPQ)	Christine Villegas (Vice-Chair; CFIA)	Stephanie Bloem (NAPPO)
Neftali Reyes Carranza (Vice-Chair; SENASICA)	Evan Moss (US Industry)	Helen Gerson (CBSA)
Annaliese Blecha (APHIS-PPQ)	Allan Schepens (US/CA industry)	Patricia Abad (APHIS-PPQ)
Nedelka Marín-Martínez (NAPPO)	Alonso Suazo (NAPPO)	
Summary		
Project:	Standardization of responsibilities and actions for safeguarding consignments that have transited one NAPPO country to enter another NAPPO country.	
General comments:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TD welcomed EG members and thanked them for joining the call. • Meeting agenda approved as presented. • The TD will write the meeting report for the chairperson to review and approve. 	
Item 1:	Summary from the previous conference call	
Consensus:	<p>The chairperson summarized the EG discussions of the previous conference call.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The group reviewed the draft of a guidance document that will also be used to provide a justification for the project. • The draft outline included listing of all stakeholders from the country of origin, transit and destination and listed specific deliverables for the EG. • Deliverables were identified as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Specify roles and responsibilities of stakeholder. ○ Specify the lines of communications among stakeholder in NAPPO countries in different types of scenarios (compliance, non-compliance, different types of agricultural products and the direction of movement of the products). <p>The chairperson encouraged the EG members to provide</p>	

	<p>feedback on the draft outline shared with the group.</p> <p>The chairperson indicated that he developed a flowchart representing a typical scenario of a commodity moving in the NAPPO region. The flowchart will be used as a model for additional scenarios and for EG members to provide feedback.</p>
Item 2:	Flowchart model for the movement of an agricultural commodity from Mexico to Canada through the United States.
Consensus:	<p>The chairperson described the flowchart model prepared for initial discussions with the EG and indicated that the model:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outline an example of the movement of an agricultural commodity from Mexico to Canada through the United States. • List the different stakeholder groups associated with the movement of agricultural commodities (producers, packers, shippers, NPPOs and others). • Provides a space to describe the roles and responsibilities of stakeholders in different entities in each NAPPO country including customs, the NPPOs and the industry. <p>The chairperson indicated that the EG should focus first on the roles and responsibilities of the different types of stakeholders and later on the communication aspects.</p>
Item 3:	Feedback on the “ <i>Agricultural consignment from Mexico to Canada transiting USA</i> ” document.
Consensus:	<p>The chairperson:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepared and shared with the EG a draft document outlining the components for the movement of an agricultural consignment from Mexico to Canada transiting the US. This includes the different steps and regulatory requirements (permits, forms etc.) that needs to be taken in each NAPPO country when moving a commodity from Mexico to Canada. • Described the different sections and their content. • Discussed the document with the EG and allowed all members to provide feedback. Suggestions and comments provided by EG members were included in the documents. <p>The chairperson indicated that he will contact members in Mexico and Canada to provide additional information on regulations and permits to include as hyperlinks in the document.</p> <p>The chairperson also requested suggestions on what to do next including, adding more examples, work on a communication flowchart or other options. Canada suggested to develop similar flowcharts with Canada and Mexico as in-transit countries. More complicated flowcharts can be developed later.</p>
Next Steps	

Responsible Person	Action	Date
Chairperson	Provide a communications flowchart to discuss with EG members.	
EG members from Canada and Mexico	To develop a flowchart with Canada and Mexico as the in-transit countries for discussions in the next conference call.	
EG members	Review guidance document developed by Canada, and provide additional feedback.	
Next Meeting		
Location:	Zoom meeting – Videoconference	
Date:	December 9 from 1:00-2:30 EST	
Proposed Agenda Items		
1.		
2.		