



NAPPO

North American Plant Protection Organization
Organización Norteamericana de Protección a las Plantas

NAPPO Conference Call Report

Expert Group:	Revision of RSPM 35 – Arthropod pest tables.	
Location:	Zoom video conference	
Date:	September 27, 2022	
Chairperson	Sarah Brearey (CFIA)	
Participants:		
Vianey Catalina Mendoza Romero (SENASICA)	Bill Howell (U. S. Industry)	Antonio Solís López (SENASICA)
Sage Thompson (APHIS PPQ)	Mike Willet (U. S. Industry)	Stephanie Dubon (APHIS PPQ)
Isabel Adali Celis González (SENASICA)	Delia Bastida Álvarez (SENASICA)	Dulce Azucena Hernández Zetina (SENASICA)
Marina Gutiérrez Olivares (SENASICA)	Douglas McPhie (APHIS PPQ)	Martin Damus (CFIA)
Heather Cumming (CFIA)	Allison Gratz (CFIA)	Randi Jimenez (CDFA)
Nedelka Marín-Martínez (NAPPO)	Alonso Suazo (NAPPO)	
Summary		
Project:	Revision of RSPM 35 arthropods pest tables.	
General comments:	<p>Following introductory remarks from the NAPPO TD and the EG chairperson, members of the EG introduced themselves. Mexico added six new members to the expert group.</p> <p>Permission was granted by the EG to record the video conference for report purposes. The TD indicated that the file will be deleted when the report is drafted and approved.</p>	
Item 1:	Pest status determination in each NAPPO country.	
Consensus:	<p>EG members from each NAPPO country outlined and described the process used to determine the pest status in their country. The following provides a summary.</p> <p>Mexico</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pest status is determined as indicated in ISPM 8. ISMP 5 and ISPM 6 are also used. - Information considered includes official documents (publications), consultation with subject matter experts, surveillance records (presence or absence, distribution, data from field or greenhouses, data from established or imported material), and information from specimens in scientific collections. - Economic importance and domestic and international regulations are also considered. - Pest status cannot be determined if the uncertainty is too 	

	<p>high, for example if only one individual is detected in a survey. In this case, the pest status is “undetermined”, and a decision will be made to follow up with additional surveys.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pest status is reevaluated when new evidence of the pest is available. <p>United States</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pest status is handled by the National Identification Services through a database of quarantine pests. - For pest status determination, the U. S. includes Puerto Rico, Hawaii, and other U. S. territories. - Pest Risk Analysis is important to provide the status of species that are not categorized. - U. S. does not have a definitive threshold to determine pest distribution (present and widely distribute or just present). <p>Canada</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Acknowledged a dearth of information of non-regulated pests. - Canada sees difficulties distinguishing between the categories P1 (Present: Widely distributed and P2 (Present: Not widely distributed and not under official control). The P1 category is determined relative to the host distribution. - Not relying too much on published literature but primarily on subject matter experts. <p>Additional notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The P7 category (Present: not associated with host - NAPPO category) is not used in Canada. - California indicated that they have their own classification system for regulated and quarantine pests. The system does not consider the presence of a pest at ports of entry but instead if they occur in agricultural areas or natural areas. 	
Item 2:	Next steps	
Consensus:	The EG agreed that the next step is to discuss the pest status of species with different statuses in each NAPPO country to find common ground on how each country categorizes each pest.	
Next Steps		
Responsible	Action	Date
NAPPO TD	Prepare the arthropod pest tables for SPFT and grapevines with the species whose pest status differs in all three NAPPO countries. Share the tables with the EG to discuss in the next video conference.	

Next Meeting	
Location:	Zoom video conference
Date:	September 27 from 2:00 to 3:00 pm EST
Proposed Agenda Items	
1.	
2.	