NAPPO Symposium Section 1 - Group Reports

Questions Development Agenda Items or DAIs	Are there existing models in NAPPO member countries (government, industry, or academia) that can be used as these DAIs are rolled out or further refined?	Will implementation of these DAIs benefit the NAPPO region? If yes, how specifically do you think they will improve phytosanitary protection in North America?	Should implementation o of these DAIs be pursued global level? What are the disadvantages of each ap	at the regional or e advantages and proach?	Do you have specific suggestions on how to approach implementation or further refinement of these DAIs?
Pest Outbreak, Alert and Response Systems – managed by S. Dubon	 NAPPO Phytosanitary Alert System U.S. PPA 7721 – Plant Protection Act Plant Pest and disease management and disaster prevention Stakeholder Registry Notice U.S. PestLens U.S. National Priority Pest List U.S. Mexico Surveillance System – SINAVEF? AQW Pest Reports California CFIA ListServe Canada WTO Notifications First reports in ESA and APS publications CABI NPDN iNaturalist - Edd maps Hotlines 	 Inform import policy to prevent introduction and spread of new pests Improve early communication Centralize location for information Improve preparedness and response 	Regional Advantages • Fewer language barriers • Fewer pests • More relevant pests • Easier logistics • Framework in place already setup for NA region Disadvantages • Delay in hearing about emerging global issues • Globalization of trade means globa pathways that may no be captured in a regional approach	implement – many systems Difficult to harmonize technology Regulations all	 Official confirmations only How will it be funded? Clarify funding vs. information sharing Harmonized tool to feed information from various systems – think through/plan how this would happen Data quality control – who is responsible? How data nuances are communicated to ensure information is interpreted correctly – interception may not mean establishment

Climate	Yes, MEX has its own models	• improve pest	reluctance to share information because of potential trade consequences + highly specific for a country	 Integrate academia in a meaningful way – including cooperative extension Leverage the NPDN Incentivized buy-in Collaboration
Change - managed by A. Suazo	adjusted to its particular needs; they also use global models like MaxEnt	 monitoring more efficient use of resources earlier (more opportune) pest detection early response 	- cannot be replicated regionally	 Training/capacity building Joint financing
Global Research Coordination - managed by S. Cote	 EUPHRESCO has value for NAPPO Research clusters (e.g., Canada) Need a way to know who is working on what Need to avoid duplication and create synergies NPPO research priorities feeding into regional and global plans Research by international societies 	 Yes – build on others experiences A searchable global database is needed Sharable and agreed upon data (acceptance) 	 First regional then global where appropriate Depends on issue Scale of issue 	 Relevance of projects to global network Funding access Agriculture, forestry and environment Align with other international research priorities
Diagnostic Laboratory Network - managed by A.L. Montealegre	 Several NA regional examples of both official and private networks already in operation 	 Standardize diagnostic protocols Fully characterize Laboratory Infrastructure 	Acceptance and Validation of Protocols for specific pests	 Signed agreement between regulatory agency and university research centers at or with approved laboratories